

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/971,830	10/04/2001	Richard A. Brandt	0200528.0006	3348
26574 75	590 08/31/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP			CHIU, RALEIGH W	
PATENT DEPA	ARTMENT			
6600 SEARS TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			3711	

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/971,830	BRANDT, RICHARD A.
Examiner	Art Unit
Raleigh Chiu	3711

	Raleigh Chiu	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE T	HIS APPLICATION IN COND	ITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to this application, applicant must timely file one of the places the application in condition for allowance; (a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in contime periods:	e following replies: (1) an ame 2) a Notice of Appeal (with app	endment, affidavit, or other evidence of the compliance with 37 to a complianc	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the period for reply expires on:</li> </ul>	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the	date set forth in the final rejection, v	vhichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See N	(a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b	-	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). To have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the pericular under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 NOTICE OF APPEAL	ne date on which the petition unde od of extension and the correspon of the shortened statutory period ice later than three months after the	ding amount of the fee. The appropriate for reply originally set in the final O	oriate extension fee ffice action: or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or ar a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	y extension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	ths of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further.	her consideration and/or sear	iling a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered ch (see NOTE below);	because
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOT</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		naterially reducing or simplifyin	g the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without cance NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.		of finally rejected claims.	
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 Cl	FR 1.121. See attached Notice	e of Non-Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would</li></ul>		a separate, timely filed amendn	nent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment how the new or amended claims would be rejected The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, is provided below or appende	or b)  will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	·		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final act because applicant failed to provide a showing of go was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ion, but before or on the date ood and sufficient reasons why	of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> the affidavit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence fail showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is need.</li> </ol>	ed to overcome all rejections	under appeal and/or appellant f	ails to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An expl REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	anation of the status of the cla	aims after entry is below or attac	ched.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considerable.</li> </ol>			ance because:
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statements</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	ent(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-14	49) Paper No(s)	Z(:_
		Raleigh Chiu Primari Examiner	

Art Unit: 3711

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the Final rejection. Woehrle in fact teaches the desirability of providing main strings with equal length and cross strings with equal length. He further recognizes the concept of constructing a rectangular or square head but has determined no practical way of achieving such a shape has been found. However, Melby and Coupar clearly show that such a practical way has been found. Woehrle merely discloses an alternative to the rectangular or square head, and such a disclosure is not considered to instruct those skilled in the art to not use the shapes disclosed by either Melby or Coupar. One of ordinary skill in the art, possessing the knowledge of the desirability of providing main strings of equal length and cross strings of equal length would easily recognize that both the rectangular/square racquets of Melby and Coupar inherently provide such desired lengths.